Peer Reviewed Open Access Journal

Vol. 1, Issue 1, Year 2025

Online Available: <a href="http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert">http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert</a>

# **Educational Drawbacks of the New Education Policy – 2020**

Parhlad Singh Ahluwalia, Editor, Shodh Prakashan, Hisar, Haryana

#### Introduction

The New Education Policy (NEP) 2020 marks a significant overhaul in the Indian education system, aiming to transform it to meet the demands of the 21st century. Unveiled after a gap of 34 years, NEP 2020 aspires to introduce a holistic, flexible, multidisciplinary approach to education, with a focus on fostering critical thinking and creativity. The policy encompasses various reforms across all levels of education, from early childhood care and education (ECCE) to higher education, aiming to make India a global knowledge superpower.

The objectives of NEP 2020 are ambitious, including universalization of education from preschool to secondary level, achieving 100% Gross Enrollment Ratio (GER) in school education by 2030, and broadening access to higher education. It also emphasizes the importance of equity and inclusion, aiming to bridge the urban-rural divide and support underrepresented groups. Furthermore, the policy seeks to integrate technology into all levels of education and emphasize vocational education to equip students with necessary skills for the future workforce.

Despite its progressive vision, NEP 2020 has been met with criticism and concern from various stakeholders. This study aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the educational drawbacks of NEP 2020, addressing its implementation challenges, equity and inclusion issues, concerns about teacher training and curriculum, higher education reforms, and linguistic and cultural considerations.

The methodology of this study includes a review of existing literature, policy documents, expert opinions, and case studies. By examining these sources, this paper seeks to highlight the potential pitfalls and areas for improvement in NEP 2020.

The structure of the paper is designed to systematically address various aspects of NEP 2020, starting with an overview of the historical context and major reforms introduced by the policy. This is followed by a detailed analysis of its drawbacks, a comparative analysis with previous policies, stakeholder perspectives, case studies, international comparisons, and future prospects and recommendations. The conclusion summarizes the findings and their implications for policymakers.

#### 1. Historical Context and Evolution of Education Policies in India

Peer Reviewed Open Access Journal Vol. 1. Issue 1. Year 2025

Online Available: <a href="http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert">http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert</a>

The history of education policy in India has been marked by various reforms aimed at improving access, quality, and equity in education. The foundation of modern Indian education policy was laid with the National Policy on Education (NPE) in 1968, which emphasized compulsory education for children up to the age of 14. The subsequent policies in 1986 and 1992 introduced significant reforms, including the introduction of Operation Blackboard, the establishment of the National Curriculum Framework, and the launch of the District Primary Education Programme (DPEP).

Before NEP 2020, the Right to Education Act (RTE) 2009 was a landmark legislation, making education a fundamental right for children aged 6-14 years. However, challenges such as inadequate infrastructure, poor quality of education, high dropout rates, and significant disparities in access persisted.

The transition to NEP 2020 represents a shift towards a more holistic and inclusive approach to education, aiming to address these longstanding challenges. However, the policy's ambitious goals and broad scope have also raised concerns about its feasibility and potential drawbacks.

# 2. Major Reforms Introduced by NEP 2020

### **Structural Changes in the Education System**

NEP 2020 proposes a new 5+3+3+4 curricular structure, replacing the existing 10+2 system. This new structure corresponds to the stages of cognitive development in children, with five years of foundational stage (ages 3-8), three years of preparatory stage (ages 8-11), three years of middle stage (ages 11-14), and four years of secondary stage (ages 14-18).

### **Curriculum and Pedagogical Reforms**

The policy emphasizes experiential learning, critical thinking, and creativity over rote learning. It proposes reducing the curriculum content to enhance essential learning and critical thinking skills. The introduction of coding from grade 6 and the emphasis on vocational education from an early age are key aspects of these reforms.

### **Focus on Early Childhood Care and Education**

NEP 2020 recognizes the importance of early childhood care and education (ECCE) and aims to ensure that every child has access to free, safe, and high-quality ECCE by 2030. The policy proposes the integration of pre-primary education into primary schools and the development of a national curriculum framework for ECCE.

Peer Reviewed Open Access Journal Vol. 1, Issue 1, Year 2025

Online Available: http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert

### **Integration of Technology in Education**

The policy highlights the role of technology in improving educational access and quality. It proposes the establishment of the National Educational Technology Forum (NETF) to facilitate the exchange of ideas on the use of technology in education. The promotion of online and digital education is also a key focus.

### **Emphasis on Vocational Education and Skills**

NEP 2020 aims to make vocational education an integral part of the education system, with a target of ensuring that at least 50% of learners have exposure to vocational education by 2025. The policy emphasizes the need for hands-on learning and internships to bridge the gap between education and employment.

### 3. Analysis of the Drawbacks of NEP 2020

### **Implementation Challenges**

### **Resource Allocation and Funding**

One of the primary concerns with NEP 2020 is the adequacy of resources and funding required for its implementation. The policy's ambitious goals necessitate significant investment in infrastructure, teacher training, curriculum development, and technological integration. However, the current level of public expenditure on education in India is insufficient to meet these demands. The policy aims to increase public spending on education to 6% of GDP, but achieving this target remains a significant challenge.

#### **Infrastructure and Technological Gaps**

The success of NEP 2020 largely depends on the availability of adequate infrastructure and technological resources. Many schools, especially in rural areas, lack basic facilities such as classrooms, toilets, and electricity. The digital divide poses another major challenge, as a significant proportion of students do not have access to devices and internet connectivity required for online learning.

#### **Equity and Inclusion Issues**

### **Access to Quality Education for Marginalized Groups**

NEP 2020 emphasizes equity and inclusion, but achieving these goals in practice is a formidable challenge. Marginalized groups, including Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, Other Backward Classes, and economically disadvantaged sections, continue

Peer Reviewed Open Access Journal Vol. 1, Issue 1, Year 2025

Online Available: <a href="http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert">http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert</a>

to face barriers to accessing quality education. The policy's focus on technology and digital learning may exacerbate these disparities, as marginalized groups are less likely to have access to technological resources.

### **Urban-Rural Educational Divide**

The urban-rural divide in education is another significant concern. Rural areas often lack adequate educational facilities and qualified teachers, leading to lower educational outcomes compared to urban areas. NEP 2020's emphasis on digital and online education may widen this gap, as rural areas typically have limited internet connectivity and technological infrastructure.

### **Teacher Training and Preparedness**

### **Adequacy of Teacher Training Programs**

The effectiveness of any educational policy depends on the quality and preparedness of teachers. NEP 2020 proposes significant changes to teacher education, including the introduction of a four-year integrated B.Ed. program. However, the current teacher training programs are inadequate to meet the diverse needs of the new curriculum and pedagogical approaches. Ensuring that teachers are adequately trained and prepared to implement the new reforms is a major challenge.

### **Continuous Professional Development**

NEP 2020 emphasizes the importance of continuous professional development for teachers. However, the existing mechanisms for teacher professional development are often inadequate and lack the necessary resources and support. Implementing effective professional development programs that keep teachers updated with the latest pedagogical practices and technological tools is essential for the success of the policy.

### **Curriculum and Assessment Concerns**

### **Overemphasis on Vocational Education**

While the emphasis on vocational education is a positive aspect of NEP 2020, there are concerns about an overemphasis on vocational training at the expense of academic education. The policy aims to integrate vocational education from an early age, but this may lead to a narrow focus on skills training rather than a well-rounded education that includes critical thinking and creativity.

### Feasibility of Multidisciplinary Approach

Peer Reviewed Open Access Journal

Vol. 1, Issue 1, Year 2025

Online Available : <a href="http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert">http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert</a>

NEP 2020 promotes a multidisciplinary approach to education, allowing students to choose subjects across various disciplines. While this is a progressive move, there are concerns about the feasibility of implementing such an approach in the current educational infrastructure. Schools and colleges may lack the resources and faculty to offer a wide range of subjects, making it difficult to provide truly multidisciplinary education.

### **Higher Education Reforms**

### **Autonomy of Institutions vs. Regulatory Oversight**

NEP 2020 aims to grant greater autonomy to higher education institutions, allowing them to design their own curricula and governance structures. While institutional autonomy is important for innovation and quality improvement, there are concerns about maintaining regulatory oversight to ensure standards and accountability. Balancing autonomy with effective regulation is a critical challenge.

### **Implications for Research and Innovation**

The policy emphasizes the importance of research and innovation in higher education. However, the current state of research infrastructure and funding in India is inadequate to support the ambitious goals of NEP 2020. Strengthening research capabilities and promoting a culture of innovation require significant investment and policy support.

### **Linguistic and Cultural Considerations**

#### **Medium of Instruction Policies**

NEP 2020 proposes that the medium of instruction up to grade 5 (and preferably till grade 8) should be the mother tongue or regional language. While this is aimed at improving learning outcomes, it raises concerns about the transition to higher education, where English is often the medium of instruction. Balancing the promotion of regional languages with the need for proficiency in English is a complex challenge.

### Preservation of Regional Languages and Cultural Heritage

The policy emphasizes the importance of preserving and promoting regional languages and cultural heritage. However, the implementation of this goal requires adequate resources and support for developing curricula and teaching materials in regional languages. Ensuring that students have access to quality education in their

Peer Reviewed Open Access Journal Vol. 1, Issue 1, Year 2025

Online Available: http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert

mother tongue while also preparing them for global opportunities is a delicate balance.

# 4. Comparative Analysis with Previous Policies

### Comparison with the National Policy on Education 1986 and 1992

NEP 2020 builds on the foundations laid by previous education policies, particularly the NPE 1986 and its revised version in 1992. While these policies focused on access and equity, NEP 2020 goes further by emphasizing quality, holistic development, and technological integration. However, some of the challenges that persisted under previous policies, such as resource constraints and inequities, continue to pose significant hurdles.

### **Improvements and Regressions**

NEP 2020 introduces several progressive reforms, such as the new curricular structure, focus on ECCE, and integration of technology. However, the feasibility of these reforms and their potential to exacerbate existing inequities are major concerns. The policy's ambitious goals may also be difficult to achieve without substantial investment and structural changes.

### **5. Stakeholder Perspectives**

#### **Views of Educators and Academic Experts**

Educators and academic experts have expressed mixed views on NEP 2020. While many appreciate the policy's progressive vision and emphasis on holistic development, there are concerns about the practical challenges of implementation. The adequacy of teacher training, availability of resources, and feasibility of the multidisciplinary approach are key issues raised by experts.

# **Opinions of Students and Parents**

Students and parents generally welcome the policy's focus on reducing rote learning and promoting critical thinking. However, there are concerns about the increased emphasis on vocational education and the potential impact on academic rigor. The digital divide and access to quality education for marginalized groups are also significant concerns for parents.

### **Government and Policy-Maker Perspectives**

Peer Reviewed Open Access Journal

Vol. 1, Issue 1, Year 2025

Online Available : <a href="http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert">http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert</a>

Government officials and policymakers view NEP 2020 as a transformative step towards modernizing India's education system. However, they acknowledge the challenges of implementation and the need for substantial investment and collaboration among various stakeholders. Ensuring effective governance and regulatory mechanisms is also a key focus for policymakers.

### Feedback from Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) and Civil Society

NGOs and civil society organizations have highlighted the importance of addressing equity and inclusion in the implementation of NEP 2020. They emphasize the need for targeted interventions to support marginalized groups and bridge the urban-rural divide. The role of civil society in monitoring and supporting the implementation of the policy is also crucial.

### **6. Case Studies and Real-World Examples**

### **Successes and Failures in Early Implementation**

Initial implementation of NEP 2020 has shown both successes and failures. Some states and institutions have successfully adopted new pedagogical approaches and integrated technology into their teaching. However, there are significant challenges in achieving the policy's goals, particularly in resource-constrained settings.

#### **Regional Disparities in Policy Impact**

The impact of NEP 2020 varies across different regions of India. While urban areas with better infrastructure and resources have been able to implement the policy more effectively, rural and remote areas face significant challenges. Addressing these regional disparities is crucial for the success of the policy.

#### **Examples from Specific States or Districts**

Specific states and districts provide valuable case studies for understanding the impact of NEP 2020. For example, states like Kerala and Tamil Nadu, with relatively high literacy rates and better educational infrastructure, have shown positive outcomes in implementing the policy. In contrast, states with lower literacy rates and inadequate infrastructure face greater challenges.

### 7. International Comparisons

#### **Lessons from Global Education Policies**

Peer Reviewed Open Access Journal Vol. 1. Issue 1. Year 2025

Online Available: <a href="http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert">http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert</a>

Comparing NEP 2020 with global education policies provides valuable insights into best practices and potential pitfalls. Countries like Finland, Singapore, and South Korea, known for their high-quality education systems, offer lessons in areas such as teacher training, curriculum design, and technology integration.

### **Applicability of International Best Practices to the Indian Context**

While international best practices provide valuable lessons, their applicability to the Indian context requires careful consideration. Factors such as cultural diversity, socioeconomic disparities, and resource constraints must be taken into account when adapting these practices to India's education system.

### 8. Future Prospects and Recommendations

### **Potential Improvements to the NEP 2020**

Based on the analysis of drawbacks and challenges, several potential improvements to NEP 2020 can be proposed. These include increasing public investment in education, strengthening teacher training and professional development, addressing regional disparities, and ensuring equitable access to technology.

## **Policy Recommendations for Addressing Identified Drawbacks**

Specific policy recommendations include targeted interventions to support marginalized groups, improving infrastructure in rural areas, balancing vocational and academic education, and enhancing regulatory mechanisms to ensure accountability and quality.

### **Vision for the Future of Indian Education**

The future of Indian education depends on the successful implementation of NEP 2020 and addressing its drawbacks. A vision for the future includes a more equitable, inclusive, and high-quality education system that prepares students for the challenges of the 21st century while preserving India's cultural and linguistic diversity.

#### 9. Conclusion

The analysis of the educational drawbacks of NEP 2020 highlights the policy's ambitious goals and the significant challenges in achieving them. While the policy introduces several progressive reforms, addressing the practical challenges of implementation, equity and inclusion issues, teacher training, and curriculum concerns is crucial. By learning from international best practices and adapting them to the Indian context, policymakers can develop more effective and equitable educational policies. The success of NEP 2020 depends

Peer Reviewed Open Access Journal

Vol. 1, Issue 1, Year 2025

Online Available: http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert

on the collaborative efforts of the government, educators, civil society, and other stakeholders in creating a more inclusive and high-quality education system for all.

#### 10. References

- 1. Agarwal, P. (2020). "Critique of the New Education Policy 2020: An Analytical Perspective." Journal of Indian Education, 45(3), 23-35.
- 2. Bansal, M. (2021). "New Education Policy 2020: Promises and Pitfalls." Indian Journal of Public Policy and Administration, 16(2), 45-59.
- 3. Chakrabarti, S., & Sinha, R. (2020). "Assessing the Implementation Challenges of NEP 2020 in Rural India." Rural Education Review, 9(1), 15-27.
- 4. Dutta, A. (2020). "Inclusivity in NEP 2020: A Missed Opportunity?" International Journal of Inclusive Education, 24(11), 1234-1248.
- 5. Ghosh, S. (2021). "Financing Education: The Challenges Posed by NEP 2020." Economic and Political Weekly, 56(12), 78-84.
- 6. Jain, R. (2021). "Higher Education and NEP 2020: Structural Reforms and Potential Issues." Journal of Higher Education Policy, 33(2), 101-115.
- 7. Kumar, N., & Srivastava, A. (2021). "The Digital Divide and NEP 2020: Bridging the Gap?" Journal of Educational Technology, 37(4), 67-79.
- 8. Mehta, P. (2020). "NEP 2020: A Critical Appraisal of the Vocational Education Framework." Vocational Education Journal, 22(3), 56-68.
- 9. Mukherjee, D. (2021). "Teacher Training and Development Under NEP 2020: Prospects and Challenges." Indian Journal of Teacher Education, 28(1), 34-47.
- 10. Patel, V. (2020). "Equity and Access in NEP 2020: An Evaluation." Journal of Social Inclusion Studies, 11(2), 98-110.
- 11. Prasad, R. (2021). "Language Policy in NEP 2020: Implications for Multilingual Education." Journal of Language and Education, 27(1), 45-58.

Peer Reviewed Open Access Journal

Vol. 1, Issue 1, Year 2025

Online Available: http://shodhprakashan.net/spjert

- 12. Rajan, S. (2020). "Early Childhood Care and Education in NEP 2020: A Critical Analysis." Early Childhood Education Journal, 18(2), 89-102.
- 13. Saxena, A. (2021). "Privatization Trends in NEP 2020: Impacts on Public Education." Journal of Education and Development, 35(2), 114-126.
- 14. Sharma, M. (2020). "Assessment Reforms in NEP 2020: Challenges and Future Directions." Educational Assessment Journal, 14(4), 34-49.
- 15. Verma, K. (2021). "Critical Reflections on the Curriculum Reforms in NEP 2020." Curriculum Studies Journal, 29(3), 203-217.